1 2 3 4 5 6	FREDERICK P. FURTH (No. 38438) MICHAEL P. LEHMANN (No. 77152) THOMAS P. DOVE (No. 51921) ALEX C. TURAN (No. 227273) THE FURTH FIRM LLP 225 Bush Street, 15th Floor San Francisco, California 94104-4249 Telephone: (415) 433-2070 Facsimile: (415) 982-2076 Attorneys for Plaintiffs Benjamin Allanoff, Lazio Family Products, Law Offices of Laurel		
7	Stanley and William F. Cronin (Additional Counsel are listed on Signature Page)		
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
11 12 13 14	DAVID E. LIPTON, et al., on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated, Plaintiffs, vs. INTEL CORPORATION, a Delaware corporation, CIVIL ACTION NO. C:05-2669 (MHP) ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO CONSIDER WHETHER CASES SHOULD BE RELATED		
15 16	Defendant.		
l 7	Pursuant to Local Rule 3-12(b), plaintiffs in the cases of <i>Allanoff v. Intel Corp.</i> ,		
18	No. C:05-2834 (MMC) (N.D. Cal., filed July 12, 2005) ("Allanoff"); Lazio Family		
19	Products v. Intel Corp., No. C:05-2859 (WHA) (N.D. Cal., filed June 13, 2005) ("Lazio");		
20	and Stanley, et al. v. Intel Corp., No. C:05-2858 (EDL) (N.D. Cal., filed July 13, 2005)		
21	("Stanley") ¹ hereby notify the Court and all counsel of their belief that their three cases are		
22	"related cases" within the meaning of Local Rule 3-12(a). (1)		
23	This administrative motion is made on the grounds that the plaintiffs in <i>Allanoff</i> ,		
24	Stanley and Lazio filed substantially similar class action complaints against the same defendant,		
25	Intel Corporation ("Intel") and allege essentially the same antitrust conduct. Relating these two		
26	cases pursuant to Local Rule 3-12 will advance the convenience of the parties, witnesses and		
27			
28	Plaintiffs in <i>Brauch v. Intel Corp.</i> , No. C:05-2743 (BZ) filed a similar motion on July 7, 2005. This motion relates to cases filed since then. ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO CONSIDER WHETHER CASES SHOULD BE RELATED		

O3 seef 3065cvv002669JJJFHP DoDoctomene384124 FFFeded07/1/42/2066 PRgge22622

1	counsel, will avoid the risk of duplicative or inconsistent rulings, orders and judgments and	
2	will serve the interests of justice. ²	
3	Based on the foregoing, the undersigned respectfully submit that the assignment	
4	of these actions to a single judge will conserve judicial resources and promote an efficient	
5	determination of the actions. A proposed form of order accompanies this motion.	
6	Dated: July 14, 2005	Respectfully submitted,
7		
8	By:	: /s/ Michael P. Lehmann Michael P. Lehmann
9		Thomas P. Dove Alex C. Turan
10		The Furth Firm, LLP 225 Bush Street, 15th Floor
11		San Francisco, California 94104-4249 Telephone: (415) 433-2070
12		Facsimile: (415) 982-2076
13		Francis O. Scarpulla (41059) Law Offices Of Francis O. Scarpulla
14		44 Montgomery Street, Suite 3400 San Francisco, CA 94104
15		Telephone: (415) 788-7210 Facsimile: (415) 788-0707
16		Craig C. Corbitt (83251)
17		Zelle Hofmann Voelbel Mason & Gette, LLP 44 Montgomery Street, Suite 3400
18		San Francisco, CA 94104 Telephone: (415) 693-0700
19		Facsimile: (415) 693-0770
20		Steven Greenfogel Daniel B. Allanoff
21		Meredith Cohen Greenfogel & Skirnick, P.C. 22nd Floor, Architects Building
22		117 S. 17th Street Philadelphia, PA 19103
23		Telephone: (215) 564-5182 Facsimile: (215) 569-0958
24		Attorneys for Plaintiffs Joseph Allanoff, Lazio
25		Family Products, Law Offices of Laurel Stanley and William F. Cronin
26		
27	² The undersigned counsel has communicated with counsel for plaintiffs in this case, and they do not oppose treating them as related cases. No counsel has yet made an appearance for Inte	
28	in any of these cases, so its concurrence has	

ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO CONSIDER WHETHER CASES SHOULD BE RELATED